### Psychological Medicine http://journals.cambridge.org/PSM

Additional services for **Psychological Medicine:** 

Email alerts: <u>Click here</u> Subscriptions: <u>Click here</u> Commercial reprints: <u>Click here</u> Terms of use : <u>Click here</u>



### Impairment in instrumental activities of daily living with high cognitive demand is an early marker of mild cognitive impairment: the Sydney Memory and Ageing Study

S. Reppermund, H. Brodaty, J. D. Crawford, N. A. Kochan, B. Draper, M. J. Slavin, J. N. Trollor and P. S. Sachdev

Psychological Medicine / *FirstView* Article / January 2013, pp 1 - 9 DOI: 10.1017/S003329171200308X, Published online: 11 January 2013

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract S003329171200308X

#### How to cite this article:

S. Reppermund, H. Brodaty, J. D. Crawford, N. A. Kochan, B. Draper, M. J. Slavin, J. N. Trollor and P. S. Sachdev Impairment in instrumental activities of daily living with high cognitive demand is an early marker of mild cognitive impairment: the Sydney Memory and Ageing Study. Psychological Medicine, Available on CJO 2013 doi:10.1017/ S003329171200308X

Request Permissions : Click here



## Impairment in instrumental activities of daily living with high cognitive demand is an early marker of mild cognitive impairment: the Sydney Memory and Ageing Study

# S. Reppermund<sup>1\*</sup>, H. Brodaty<sup>1,2,3</sup>, J. D. Crawford<sup>1</sup>, N. A. Kochan<sup>1,4</sup>, B. Draper<sup>1,3</sup>, M. J. Slavin<sup>1,2</sup>, J. N. Trollor<sup>1,5</sup> and P. S. Sachdev<sup>1,2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Dementia Collaborative Research Centre, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

<sup>8</sup> Academic Department for Old Age Psychiatry, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, Australia

<sup>4</sup> Neuropsychiatric Institute, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, Australia

<sup>5</sup> Department of Developmental Disability Neuropsychiatry, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

**Background.** Criteria for mild cognitive impairment (MCI) consider impairment in instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) as exclusionary, but cross-sectional studies suggest that some high-level functional deficits are present in MCI. This longitudinal study examines informant-rated IADL in MCI, compared with cognitively normal (CN) older individuals, and explores whether functional abilities, particularly those with high cognitive demand, are predictors of MCI and dementia over a 2-year period in individuals who were CN at baseline.

**Method.** A sample of 602 non-demented community dwelling individuals (375 CN and 227 with MCI) aged 70–90 years underwent baseline and 24-month assessments that included cognitive and medical assessments and an interview with a knowledgeable informant on functional abilities with the Bayer Activities of Daily Living Scale.

**Results.** Significantly more deficits in informant-reported IADL with high cognitive demand were present in MCI compared with CN individuals at baseline and 2-year follow-up. Functional ability in CN individuals at baseline, particularly in activities with high cognitive demand, predicted MCI and dementia at follow-up. Difficulties with highly cognitively demanding activities specifically predicted amnestic MCI but not non-amnestic MCI whereas those with low cognitive demand did not predict MCI or dementia. Age, depressive symptoms, cardiovascular risk factors and the sex of the informant did not contribute to the prediction.

**Conclusions.** IADL are affected in individuals with MCI, and IADL with a high cognitive demand show impairment predating the diagnosis of MCI. Subtle cognitive impairment is therefore likely to be a major hidden burden in society.

Received 1 October 2012; Revised 2 December 2012; Accepted 11 December 2012

Key words: Dementia, instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), mild cognitive impairment (MCI).

#### Introduction

Instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) are complex everyday activities such as handling finances, shopping or managing medication. The loss of independence in these activities is a key factor affecting the quality of life in patients with dementia and their caregivers. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) can be regarded as a transitional state between normal ageing and dementia. Although impairment in IADL is by definition excluded in MCI, cross-sectional studies have shown that limitations in IADL abilities are common in MCI (Tuokko *et al.* 2005; Perneczky *et al.* 2006; Wadley *et al.* 2007; Brown *et al.* 2011; Reppermund *et al.* 2011*b*).

Some activities are more cognitively demanding than others and recent findings by our group suggest that restrictions in functional abilities in individuals with MCI are in particular present in highly cognitively demanding activities (Reppermund *et al.* 2011*b*). These highly cognitively demanding IADL are

<sup>\*</sup> Address for correspondence : S. Reppermund, Ph.D., University of New South Wales Randwick Campus, Building R1f, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia.

<sup>(</sup>Email: s.reppermund@unsw.edu.au)

associated with cognitive performance in several domains and men seem to have more difficulties than women in performance of IADL with higher cognitive demands. However, little is known about longitudinal changes in IADL performance and about the relationship between cognitive and functional decline. Most longitudinal studies examined associations between IADL limitations and progression to dementia (Purser et al. 2005; Pérès et al. 2006; Di Carlo et al. 2007; Luck et al. 2011), rather than progression to MCI. Luck et al. (2011) reported a higher conversion rate to dementia, a shorter time to clinically manifest diagnosis and a lower chance of reversibility to cognitively normal (CN) for individuals with MCI plus IADL limitations. Purser et al. (2005) examined 10-year trajectories of incident disability for CN individuals and those with MCI. The estimated probability of progression to disability, and hence becoming eligible for a formal diagnosis of dementia, was much higher in the MCI subgroup with IADL limitations at baseline. There was no significant difference in the progression rate between CN participants and MCI without functional limitations at baseline. Similar findings were reported by Pérès et al. (2006) and by Di Carlo et al. (2007). Even for individuals with normal cognition, self-reported IADL restrictions predicted progression to dementia after 2 years (Pérès et al. 2006) and 4 years (Di Carlo et al. 2007), respectively. One limitation of the aforementioned studies is the use of self-reported IADL rather than informant-based measures. A second limitation is that items did not consider higher-order or more complex IADL, e.g. being able to do two tasks simultaneously versus preparing food. Third, few studies have examined functional abilities across MCI subtypes; however, there is increasing evidence for early IADL decrements in particular in individuals with amnestic MCI (aMCI) (Farias et al. 2005; Bangen et al. 2010; Luck et al. 2011). This is in line with the finding that aMCI represents an increased risk for Alzheimer's dementia (Jungwirth et al. 2012).

The aims of this study were to examine informantbased IADL over a 2-year period in communitydwelling older individuals with MCI and to compare them with CN individuals. Furthermore, the study explored whether functional ability is predictive of cognitive decline over a 2-year period and whether highly cognitively demanding IADL are a better predictor of MCI and dementia than IADL with low cognitive demand. Baseline and 2-year follow-up data from the Sydney Memory and Ageing Study (MAS) (Sachdev *et al.* 2010) were used to address these aims.

We hypothesized that individuals with MCI would have more difficulties in IADL compared with CN individuals at both time points and that IADL in general and highly cognitively demanding IADL in particular would predict MCI and dementia at follow-up in CN individuals.

#### Method

#### Study participants

The MAS sample included 1037 community-dwelling participants aged 70-90 years without dementia at baseline. They were assessed with a comprehensive cognitive and medical assessment and with the informant-completed Bayer-Activities of Daily Living Scale (B-ADL; Hindmarch et al. 1998; Erzigkeit et al. 2001) at baseline and 2 years later. Exclusion criteria were a score of 23 and below on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE; Folstein et al. 1975), adjusted for age and education (Anderson et al. 2007), dementia [according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria (APA, 1994)], developmental disabilities, psychotic symptoms, schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, multiple sclerosis, motor neuron disease, progressive malignancy and English inadequate to complete a psychometric assessment. To ensure the validity of neuropsychological scores, all participants from a non-English-speaking background (i.e. not able to speak English at a basic conversational level by the age of 9 years; n = 164) were additionally excluded from the present analysis as were participants who did not have an available informant or missing B-ADL data (n=60) or missing neuropsychological data (n=77). A total of 134 participants withdrew or died prior to the 2-year follow-up. The final sample comprised 602 participants of whom 227 were classified as MCI (50.7% females) and 375 as CN (57.6% females) at baseline (see Table 1). The mean age was 78.2 (s.D. = 4.6) years.

Participants were assessed either at a study centre or in their own homes. All assessments were conducted by trained research psychologists. Informants were relatives or close friends of the participants, preferably cohabiting, that is, the informant had to know the person well enough to answer questions about his or her memory, thinking and daily functions, and had at least 1 h contact per week with the participant.

MCI diagnoses were made according to published criteria (Petersen *et al.* 1999; Petersen, 2004) as follows: (1) presence of subjective complaints by either the participant or informant; (2) presence of cognitive impairment in one domain or more (based on a threshold equivalent to 1.5 s.D. or more below published normative data); (3) normal or minimally impaired in functional abilities (i.e. a score of <3 on the B-ADL adjusted for physical impairment); and (4) no

**Table 1.** Bayer Activities of Daily Living scale (Hindmarch et al.

 1998; Erzigkeit et al. 2001) items with high and with low

 cognitive demand<sup>a</sup>

Items with high cognitive demand Coping with unfamiliar situations Performing a task when under pressure Describing what he/she has just seen or heard Continuing with the same task after a brief interruption Taking a message for someone else Observing important dates or events Doing two things at the same time Finding his/her way in an unfamiliar place Giving directions if asked the way Taking part in conversation Concentrating on reading Items with low cognitive demand Using transportation Shopping Going for a walk without getting lost Taking care of him/herself Managing everyday activities Preparing food Personal hygiene Using domestic appliances Participating in leisure activities

<sup>a</sup> Results from a factor analysis described by Reppermund *et al.* (2011*b*). Subscales were formed based on the results of the factor solution, with the score for each factor calculated as the average of ratings on items that loaded on each factor with a factor-pattern loading greater than 0.45.

dementia according to DSM-IV criteria. aMCI was diagnosed if cognitive impairment in the memory domain was present (with or without impairment in a non-memory domain) and non-amnestic MCI (nMCI) was diagnosed if cognitive impairment in one or more non-memory domains was present. Individuals who did not meet the criteria for MCI were classified as CN. Consensus diagnoses were made by an expert team consisting of geriatric psychiatrists, neuropsychiatrists, clinical and research neuropsychologists, on the basis of all available clinical and neuropsychological data.

The study protocol was approved by the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee and written informed consent was obtained from each participant and informant.

#### Functional assessment

The B-ADL is an informant-based instrument that was developed to assess functional disabilities in the early stages of dementia or cognitive impairment (Hindmarch *et al.* 1998; Erzigkeit *et al.* 2001). It contains 25 items which are completed by an informant sufficiently familiar with the participant.

Each item is introduced with 'Does the person have difficulty ... ', and the informant rates the frequency of the participant's difficulties in performing everyday activities from 1 (never) to 10 (always). The total score is given by summing up each item score divided by the number of items, with higher scores corresponding to more severe deficits. The B-ADL was administered at baseline and at the 2-year follow-up.

Based on our previous work (Reppermund *et al.* 2011*b*) the B-ADL items were divided into two categories: items with high cognitive demand and items with low cognitive demand. These categories were derived from a factor analysis of the B-ADL to get a better understanding of the underlying level of cognitive contribution to everyday activities. We found that the B-ADL items can be differentiated into two factors: one comprising activities with low cognitive demand (like preparing food or personal hygiene) and another comprising activities with high cognitive demand (like doing two things at the same time or giving directions if asked the way). Table 1 shows the activities included for each factor.

#### Neuropsychological assessment

All participants underwent an extensive neuropsychological assessment at baseline and 2-year follow-up. The MMSE (Folstein *et al.* 1975) was used as a screen for global cognitive functioning. Composite scores for five cognitive domains were formed as follows:

*Memory*: logical memory (Wechsler, 1997*a*) (story A delayed recall), Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (Rey, 1964) (total learning, short-term recall, long-term recall) and Benton Visual Retention Test-recognition (Benton Sivan & Spreen, 1996).

*Attention/processing speed*: Trail Making Test A (Reitan & Wolfson, 1985) and Digit Symbol Coding (Wechsler, 1997b).

*Executive functions*: Trail Making Test B (Reitan, 1985) and Controlled Oral Word Association Test (Benton, 1967).

*Visuo-spatial function*: Block Design (Wechsler, 1981). *Language*: Boston Naming Test (30 item version) (Fastenau *et al.* 1998; Kaplan *et al.* 2001) and Semantic Fluency (animals) (Spreen & Benton, 1969).

#### Depressive symptoms and cardiovascular risk index

Depressive symptoms and cardiovascular events have been shown to be predictors of cognitive decline

#### 4 S. Reppermund et al.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics	s for the MCI an	d cognitively intact	groups
-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------------	--------

	MCI ( <i>n</i> = 227)	Cognitively normal $(n=375)$	t	р
Age, years	78.59 (4.44)	77.91 (4.63)	-1.79	0.074
Sex, n			$\chi^2 = 2.75$	0.097
Males	112	159		
Females	115	216		
Years of education	11.59 (3.61)	11.77 (3.53)	0.60	0.547
B-ADL total score	1.60 (0.69)	1.37 (0.53)	-4.77	< 0.001
B-ADL high cognitive demand score	1.85 (0.94)	1.53 (0.69)	-4.71	< 0.001
B-ADL low cognitive demand score	1.46 (0.82)	1.28 (0.58)	-2.83	0.005
MMSE score <sup>a</sup>	28.27 (1.42)	28.86 (1.16)	5.62	< 0.001
CVR score $(n=583)^{\rm b}$	17.48 (3.26)	16.98 (3.40)	-1.74	0.082
GDS total score	2.19 (1.83)	1.95 (1.71)	-1.66	0.097

MCI, Mild cognitive impairment; B-ADL, Bayer-Activities of Daily Living Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CVR, CardioVascular Risk Factor Index; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale (15-item version).

Data are given as mean (standard deviation).

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age and education (Anderson et al. 2007).

<sup>b</sup> Based on age, smoking status, diabetic status, systolic blood pressure, cholesterol level, high-density-lipoprotein level and antihypertensive medication (D'Agostino *et al.* 2008).

(Modrego & Ferrández, 2004; Barnes *et al.* 2006; Gorelick *et al.* 2011) and were therefore included as covariates in our analyses.

Depressive symptoms were assessed with the 15-item short-form of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS; Yesavage *et al.* 1982; Sheik & Yesavage, 1986), a self-rating questionnaire shown to be reliable and valid for the assessment of depressive symptoms in the elderly. A higher score indicates more symptoms of depression.

The CardioVascular Risk Factor Index (CVR) is a computed variable based on the research of the Framingham Stroke Study which was reported by D'Agostino *et al.* (2008). It is based on a regression model using current smoking status, diabetic status, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol level, high-density lipoprotein level and the use of anti-hypertensive medication. A higher score indicates a higher 10-year risk prediction of a cardiovascular event (coronary death, myocardial infarction, coronary insufficiency, angina, ischaemic stroke, haemorrhagic stroke, transient ischaemic attack, peripheral artery disease and heart failure). The CVR was available for 583 participants (96.84% of the sample).

#### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses included independent-samples t tests, and  $\chi^2$  tests for comparing variables of interest between the MCI and CN groups.

Logistic regressions were carried out with diagnosis at baseline (MCI *versus* CN) and 2-year follow-up (MCI and dementia *versus* CN), respectively, as dependent variables and functional ratings as independent variables.

The extent to which functional ratings of CN individuals at baseline predict diagnostic classification (MCI, aMCI, nMCI and dementia *versus* CN) at followup was investigated with multinomial logistic regression analyses. Age, sex, education, sex of the informant, GDS score and CVR score were entered as additional independent variables into the regression.

Because the B-ADL scores were not normally distributed, normal scores for these variables were computed using Blom's procedure (Blom, 1958). The level of significance was set to p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 for Windows.

#### Results

The demographic and functional characteristics for the two groups (MCI and CN groups at baseline) are listed in Table 2. Compared with CN individuals, the MCI group had a significantly lower MMSE score and more informant-reported functional deficits (i.e. higher B-ADL total score) at baseline. Moreover, the MCI group had more informant-reported deficits on the B-ADL items with high and low cognitive demand. There were no significant differences between the groups regarding depressive symptoms or cardiovascular risk factors.

	MCI			Dementia			
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Wald $\chi^2$	р	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Wald $\chi^2$	р	
Model 1							
B-ADL total	1.38 (1.04-1.86)	4.78	0.029	3.74 (1.91-7.31)	14.87	< 0.001	
Age	1.05 (0.99-1.11)	2.64	0.104	1.04 (0.92-1.17)	0.31	0.577	
Sex	0.43 (0.25-0.74)	9.34	0.002	0.48 (0.13-1.70)	1.31	0.253	
Education	1.00 (0.93-1.08)	0.05	0.934	1.08 (0.92-1.26)	0.82	0.366	
Informant sex	1.24 (0.69-2.24)	0.52	0.473	0.97 (0.26-3.63)	0.00	0.965	
GDS score	0.89 (0.75-1.05)	2.00	0.157	1.04 (0.79–1.37)	0.07	0.787	
CVR score	1.00 (0.92–1.09)	0.00	1.00	1.02 (0.84–1.23)	0.02	0.878	
Model 2							
B-ADL high cognitive demand score	1.54 (1.06-2.23)	5.09	0.024	3.55 (1.48-8.52)	8.08	0.004	
B-ADL low cognitive demand score	0.86 (0.58-1.28)	0.57	0.452	1.04 (0.44-2.44)	0.01	0.993	
Age	1.06 (1.00-1.12)	3.45	0.063	1.06 (0.93-1.20)	0.72	0.397	
Sex	0.45 (0.26-0.77)	8.28	0.004	0.57 (0.16-2.02)	0.76	0.384	
Education	1.01 (0.94-1.09)	0.07	0.794	1.11 (0.94-1.31)	1.58	0.209	
Informant sex	1.21 (0.67-2.19)	0.40	0.527	0.90 (0.24-3.36)	0.03	0.872	
GDS score	0.90 (0.77-1.07)	1.47	0.226	1.10 (0.83-1.45)	0.40	0.528	
CVR score	1.00 (0.92-1.09)	0.00	0.952	1.04 (0.86–1.27)	0.18	0.674	

**Table 3.** Multinomial logistic regression results for predicting MCI versus CN and dementia versus CN at follow-up (n = 375 CN individuals at baseline)

MCI, Mild cognitive impairment; CN, cognitively normal; CI, confidence interval; B-ADL, Bayer-Activities of Daily Living Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale (15-item version); CVR, CardioVascular Risk Factor Index.

Two separate logistic regression analyses were carried out, with the high cognitive demand B-ADL score and the low cognitive demand B-ADL score included as predictor variables, respectively. These revealed a significant association between diagnosis at baseline (MCI versus CN) and the high cognitive demand B-ADL score [odds ratio (OR) 1.49, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.23–1.80, Wald  $\chi^2 = 16.73$ , degrees of freedom (df) = 1, p = < 0.001] as well as the low cognitive demand B-ADL score (OR 1.26, 95% CI 1.03–1.53, Wald  $\chi^2 = 5.09$ , df = 1, p = 0.024), indicating that more informant-reported functional deficits are associated with MCI. We repeated the analysis with both high and low cognitive demand scores as independent variables in the same model. The high cognitive demand B-ADL score was associated with MCI (OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.19–1.88, Wald  $\chi^2 = 11.84$ , df = 1, p = 0.001) whereas the low cognitive demand B-ADL score was no longer significantly associated with MCI (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.78–1.26, Wald  $\chi^2 = 0.01$ , df = 1, p = 0.916). Thus, only the highly cognitively demanding IADL had an independent association with MCI when both subscales were included in the model. The analyses were repeated at follow-up and confirmed the results that the high cognitive demand B-ADL score, but not the low cognitive demand B-ADL score, was associated with MCI (data not shown).

#### Predictors of MCI and dementia

To assess whether functional performance of CN individuals at baseline predicted MCI or dementia 2 years later, logistic regression analysis was conducted with diagnostic classification, (MCI, dementia or CN at follow-up), as the dependent variable and the baseline B-ADL total score, age, sex, education, sex of the informant, GDS score and CVR score as independent variables (see Table 3). The analysis was repeated using separate B-ADL high and low cognitive demand scores. Of the 375 individuals who were CN at baseline and had no missing CVR score, 13 developed dementia, 75 were classified as MCI and 287 as CN at follow-up. The B-ADL total score and the B-ADL high cognitive demand score were significant predictors of MCI and dementia whereas the B-ADL low cognitive demand score did not predict MCI or dementia. Furthermore, being male significantly contributed to the prediction of MCI. Age, depressive symptoms, the cardiovascular risk factor and sex of the informant did not contribute to predict MCI or dementia.

To examine whether pre-morbid intelligence quotient (IQ) instead of years of education would contribute to the prediction, we ran an additional regression analysis, exchanging years of education with the National Adult Reading Test (Nelson &

#### 6 S. Reppermund et al.

**Table 4.** Multinomial logistic regression results for predicting nMCI versus CN and aMCI versus CN at follow-up (n = 362 CN individuals at baseline)

	aMCI			nMCI		
	Odds ratio (95 % CI)	Wald $\chi^2$	р	Odds ratio (95 % CI)	Wald $\chi^2$	р
Model 1						
B-ADL total	1.48 (1.01-2.15)	4.08	0.043	1.27 (0.84-1.93)	1.26	0.261
Age	1.07 (0.99–1.15)	3.19	0.074	1.02 (0.95-1.11)	0.34	0.561
Sex	0.18 (0.08-0.40)	17.32	< 0.001	0.99 (0.46-2.11)	0.00	0.972
Education	1.02 (0.93-1.12)	0.18	0.671	0.96 (0.86-1.08)	0.44	0.507
Informant sex	1.42 (0.63-3.19)	0.71	0.399	1.13 (0.52-2.49)	0.10	0.754
GDS score	0.86 (0.69-1.07)	1.95	0.162	0.93 (0.74-1.16)	0.45	0.502
CVR score	1.05 (0.93–1.18)	0.53	0.468	0.98 (0.87-1.09)	0.19	0.666
Model 2						
B-ADL high cognitive demand score	2.11 (1.24-3.60)	7.59	0.006	1.16 (0.69-1.93)	0.31	0.580
B-ADL low cognitive demand score	0.66 (0.39-1.12)	2.37	0.123	1.08 (0.61-1.89)	0.67	0.796
Age	1.08 (1.01-1.17)	4.37	0.037	1.03 (0.95–1.11)	0.39	0.534
Sex	0.19 (0.08-0.43)	15.69	< 0.001	0.99 (0.46-2.12)	0.00	0.982
Education	1.04 (0.94–1.14)	0.56	0.454	0.96 (0.86-1.08)	0.46	0.500
Informant sex	1.32 (0.59-2.99)	0.45	0.501	1.13 (0.51-2.47)	0.89	0.767
GDS score	0.88 (0.70-1.09)	1.40	0.237	0.93 (0.74-1.17)	0.39	0.534
CVR score	1.05 (0.93-1.18)	0.55	0.457	0.97 (0.87-1.09)	0.21	0.649

nMCI, Non-amnestic mild cognitive impairment; CN, cognitively normal; aMCI, amnestic mild cognitive impairment; CI, confidence interval; B-ADL, Bayer-Activities of Daily Living Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale (15-item version); CVR, CardioVascular Risk Factor Index.

Willison, 1991) predicted IQ as an independent variable. However, the results remained generally the same for the B-ADL high cognitive demand score predicting MCI (OR 1.47, 95% CI 1.02–2.13, Wald  $\chi^2$ =4.15, df=1, *p*=0.042) and dementia (OR 3.60, 95% CI 1.46–8.86, Wald  $\chi^2$ =7.75, df=1, *p*=0.005) as well as for the B-ADL low cognitive demand score not predicting MCI (OR 0.84, 95% CI 0.57–1.25, Wald  $\chi^2$ =0.71, df=1, *p*=0.399) or dementia at follow-up (OR 1.16, 95% CI 0.49–2.73, Wald  $\chi^2$ =0.12, df=1, *p*=0.735).

#### MCI subtypes

To find out whether functional performance in CN at baseline would also predict aMCI *versus* nMCI at follow-up, we conducted multinomial logistic regression with diagnostic classification (aMCI, nMCI and CN at follow-up) as the dependent variable. A total of 41 participants were classified as aMCI and 34 as nMCI. Table 4 shows the results.

The B-ADL total score, the B-ADL high cognitive demand score and sex (being male) were significant predictors of aMCI but not of nMCI. The B-ADL low cognitive demand score neither predicted aMCI nor nMCI. Depressive symptoms, the cardiovascular risk factor and sex of the informant predicted neither aMCI nor nMCI. However, older age significantly contributed to the prediction of aMCI by highly cognitively demanding IADL.

#### Discussion

In contrast to dementia, where functional impairment is necessary to make the diagnosis, the original criteria for MCI by Petersen (Petersen *et al.* 1999; Petersen, 2004) require intact activities of daily living. Our findings, however, suggest that some functional disturbances are common in MCI. Compared with CN individuals, significantly more informant-reported IADL difficulties were present in MCI. These results rather support the revised diagnostic criteria for MCI with the inclusion of a criterion relating to increasing difficulty in performing everyday tasks without loss of autonomy (Winblad *et al.* 2004) and are in line with other recent studies (Tuokko *et al.* 2005; Perneczky *et al.* 2006; Wadley *et al.* 2007; Brown *et al.* 2011; Reppermund *et al.* 2011*b*).

The severity of informant-reported functional impairment was relatively low, indicating that even though individuals with MCI have increasing difficulties performing complex everyday activities, they are still able to do them mostly independently. However, our findings indicate that MCI may represent a functional status between the subtle decrements associated with ageing and more severe deficits associated with dementia and that individuals with MCI might benefit from assistance with more complex IADL.

Cognitive decline at an early stage seems first to cause difficulties in more complex IADL with high cognitive demands like finding the way in an unfamiliar place or doing two things at the same time (Reppermund *et al.* 2011*b*). These activities require more cognitive resources than simple IADL and are, therefore, more vulnerable to early cognitive changes than activities with low cognitive demand like personal hygiene or preparing food.

Functional ability at baseline and in particular in activities with high cognitive demand predicted MCI and dementia at follow-up. Age, depressive symptoms, cardiovascular risk factors and the sex of the informant were not associated with MCI or dementia; however, men were more likely to develop MCI at follow-up. The results of the present study support previous findings from longitudinal studies that IADL restrictions may predict cognitive decline (Pérès *et al.* 2006; Luck *et al.* 2011). Gold concluded in a recent review that IADL restrictions may predict incident dementia even better than cognitive testing (Gold, 2011).

To date, there are no objective standards to define minimal IADL impairment, nor recommendations or guidelines as to which instrument to use to assess IADL in the elderly, non-demented individual. Our study confirms that highly cognitively demanding activities present difficulties for individuals with MCI and that they can be used to predict MCI. This could be a useful guideline for clinicians and help refine MCI criteria.

However, it may be difficult for clinicians to use the B-ADL to distinguish between CN and MCI given the small variance between the groups at baseline. It is more likely to find more obvious differences between MCI/CN groups and dementia. Our findings highlight the need for IADL instruments inquiring about more subtle or complex IADL. A recent qualitative study by De Vriendt *et al.* (2012) supports our findings that more advanced activities of daily living are impaired in MCI and that this functional decline interacts with adaptation and coping mechanisms which can lead to activity disruption and insufficiency in functioning.

Restrictions in highly cognitively demanding activities predicted aMCI but not nMCI at follow-up whereas activities with low cognitive demand did not predict MCI. Luck and colleagues reported that individuals with aMCI have a higher risk to develop

dementia earlier than individuals with nMCI (Luck et al. 2011). The highest conversion rate and the shortest time to incident dementia were present in individuals with aMCI plus IADL restrictions. Our study adds to these findings that restrictions in IADL with high cognitive demands can predict incident MCI, in particular aMCI. Memory performance may be more important for IADL with high cognitive demand than other cognitive domains. In a previous study we found that highly cognitively demanding IADL were negatively correlated with performance in five cognitive domains, i.e. memory, attention/processing speed, executive function, language and visuospatial ability (Reppermund et al. 2011b). In contrast, IADL with low cognitive demand were only associated with attention/processing speed and to a lesser extent with executive function but not with memory.

Our study has some limitations. First, the assessment of IADL was based on the subjective reports of informants. Informants vary in their actual contact with the participants and in their capabilities to provide valid information. Performance-based IADL measures have the advantage of objectively scoring individuals on their ability to perform everyday activities rather than relying on subjective self-ratings or second-party judgements. However, performancebased measures may have limited ecological validity as individuals may perform differently in their familiar environment compared with an unfamiliar laboratory environment. Luis et al. (2003) suggested that information from a collateral source, although potentially biased, may provide the most reliable measure of change in functional ability. We agree with Bangen et al. (2010) that future studies using multiple measures including self- and informant-reports as well as performance-based instruments are necessary to assess functional impairment and to explore similarities or discrepancies between different measurement strategies.

Second, a basic problem with the concept of IADL is the influence of factors other than cognitive impairment on functional status. One of the main confounding factors is the presence of physical impairments. In particular mobility-related limitations can have a strong influence on IADL scores, especially in older people (Wilms et al. 2007). Although reported difficulties on the Bayer items should be a consequence of cognitive decline rather than a result of physical impairments, informants may not always be able to distinguish these. Future research into IADL and cognitive decline should focus on the distinction between physical disabilities and poor IADL performance and on how assistance can be provided for individuals who are not demented but whose cognitive and functional performance is not normal

either. Third, our sample represents relatively healthy individuals with more education and possibly better functional abilities compared with the general older population in Australia. Depressive symptoms were not associated with cognitive decline. In a previous cross-sectional study with the same cohort, clinically relevant symptoms of depression (i.e. a GDS score of 6 or above) were associated with worse performance in memory and executive function (Reppermund *et al.* 2011*a*). However, there were no significant differences on IADL scores between depressed and non-depressed participants. If the prevalence of depression was higher in this cohort, associations with cognitive and functional decline might have been present.

Finally, longer follow-up duration is needed to examine the predictive value of IADL for cognitive decline.

Despite these limitations, we confirmed that individuals with MCI function worse in complex everyday activities compared with cognitively intact individuals, in particular in activities requiring more cognitive resources. Functional restrictions and in particular restrictions in highly cognitively demanding activities in elderly CN individuals are a predictor of MCI and dementia over a 2-year period, indicating that subtle cognitive impairment may be causing functional impairment which has an unmeasured silent burden on society. These findings suggest that functional status is an important factor for future cognitive status in the elderly and it can be used to detect cognitive decline at an early stage.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank all participants and their supporters in the Sydney Memory and Ageing Study (MAS), and the MAS research team. This study was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Program Grant (no. 350833) and Capacity Building Grant (no. 568940).

#### **Declaration of Interest**

None.

#### References

- Anderson TM, Sachdev PS, Brodaty H, Trollor JN, Andrews G (2007). Effects of sociodemographic and health variables on Mini-Mental State Exam scores in older Australians. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **15**, 467–476.
- APA (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Health Disorders*, 4th edn, revised. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC.

Bangen KJ, Jak AJ, Schiehser DM, Delano-Wood L, Tuminello E, Han SD, Delis DC, Bondi MW (2010). Complex activities of daily living vary by mild cognitive impairment subtype. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 16, 630–639.

Barnes DE, Alexopoulos GS, Lopez OL, Williamson JD, Yaffe K (2006). Depressive symptoms, vascular disease, and mild cognitive impairment: findings from the cardiovascular health study. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 63, 273–280.

- Benton AL (1967). Problems of test construction in the field of aphasia. *Cortex* **3**, 32–58.
- Benton Sivan AB, Spreen O (1996). Der Benton Test (The Benton Test). Huber: Bern.
- Blom G (1958). Statistical Estimates and Transformed Beta Variables. John Wiley and Sons: New York.
- **Brown PJ, Devanand DP, Liu X, Caccappolo E** (2011). Functional impairment in elderly patients with mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer disease. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **68**, 617–626.
- D'Agostino Sr RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, Kannel WB (2008). General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* **117**, 743–753.
- De Vriendt P, Gorus E, Cornelis E, Velghe A, Petrovic M, Mets T (2012). The process of decline in advanced activities of daily living: a qualitative explorative study in mild cognitive impairment. *International Psychogeriatrics* 24, 974–986.
- Di Carlo A, Lamassa M, Baldereschi M, Inzitari M, Scafato E, Farchi G, Inzitari D (2007). CIND and MCI in the Italian elderly frequency, vascular risk factors, progression to dementia. *Neurology* **68**, 1909–1916.
- Erzigkeit H, Lehfeld H, Pena-Casanova J, Bieber F, Yekrangi-Hartmann C, Rupp M, Rappard F, Arnold K, Hindmarch I (2001). The Bayer-Activities of Daily Living Scale (B-ADL): results from a validation study in three European countries. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders* **12**, 348–358.
- Farias ST, Mungas D, Jagust W (2005). Degree of discrepancy between self and other-reported everyday functioning by cognitive status: dementia, mild cognitive impairment, and healthy elders. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 20, 827–834.
- Fastenau PS, Denburg NL, Mauer BA (1998). Parallel short forms for the Boston Naming Test: psychometric properties and norms for older adults. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* **20**, 828–834.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975). 'Mini-mental state': practical method for grading cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **12**, 189–198.
- **Gold DA** (2011). An examination of instrumental activities of daily living assessment in older adults and mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* **34**, 11–34.
- Gorelick PB, Scuteri A, Black SE, Decarli C, Greenberg SM, Iadecola C, Launer LJ, Laurent S, Lopez OL, Nyenhuis D, Petersen RC, Schneider JA, Tzourio C, Arnett DK, Bennett DA, Chui HC, Higashida RT, Lindquist R,

Nilsson PM, Roman GC, Sellke FW, Seshadri S (2011). Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* **42**, 2672–2713.

Hindmarch I, Lehfeld H, de Jongh P, Erzigkeit H (1998). The Bayer Activities of Daily Living Scale (B-ADL). *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders* **9** (Suppl. 2), 20–26.

Jungwirth S, Zehetmayer S, Hinterberger M, Tragl KH, Fischer P (2012). The validity of amnestic MCI and nonamnestic MCI at age 75 in the prediction of Alzheimer's dementia and vascular dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics* **24**, 959–966.

Kaplan E, Goodglass H, Weintraub S (2001). *The Boston Naming Test*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia.

Luck T, Luppa M, Angermeyer MC, Villringer A, Konig HH, Riedel-Heller SG (2011). Impact of impairment in instrumental activities of daily living and mild cognitive impairment on time to incident dementia: results of the Leipzig Longitudinal Study of the Aged. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 1087–1097.

Luis CA, Loewenstein DA, Acevedo A, Barker WW, Duara R (2003). Mild cognitive impairment – directions for future research. *Neurology* **61**, 438–444.

**Modrego PJ, Ferrández J** (2004). Depression in patients with mild cognitive impairment increases the risk of developing dementia of Alzheimer type: a prospective cohort study. *Archives of Neurology* **61**, 1290–1293.

Nelson HE, Willison J (1991). National Adult Reading Test (NART): Test Manual, 2nd edn. NFER Nelson: Windsor.

Pérès K, Chrysostome V, Fabrigoule C, Orgogozo JM, Dartigues JF, Barberger-Gateau P (2006). Restriction in complex activities of daily living in MCI – impact on outcome. *Neurology* 67, 461–466.

Perneczky R, Pohl C, Sorg C, Hartmann J, Komossa K, Alexopoulos P, Wagenpfeil S, Kurz A (2006). Complex activities of daily living in mild cognitive impairment: conceptual and diagnostic issues. *Age and Ageing* 35, 240–245.

Petersen RC (2004). Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 256, 183–194.

Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG, Kokmen E (1999). Mild cognitive impairment – clinical characterization and outcome. *Archives of Neurology* 56, 303–308.

Purser JL, Fillenbaum GG, Pieper CF, Wallace RB (2005). Mild cognitive impairment and 10-year trajectories of disability in the Iowa Established Populations for Epidemiologic Studies of the Elderly cohort. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 53, 1966–1972.

Reitan RM, Wolfson D (1985). The Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery: Theory and Clinical Interpretation. Neuropsychological Press: Tucson, AZ.

Reppermund S, Brodaty H, Crawford JD, Kochan NA, Slavin MJ, Trollor JN, Draper B, Sachdev PS (2011*a*). The relationship of current depressive symptoms and past depression with cognitive impairment and instrumental activities of daily living in an elderly population: the Sydney Memory and Ageing Study. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **45**, 1600–1607. Reppermund S, Sachdev PS, Crawford J, Kochan NA, Slavin MJ, Kang K, Trollor JN, Draper B, Brodaty H (2011*b*). The relationship of neuropsychological function to instrumental activities of daily living in mild cognitive impairment. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **26**, 843–852.

**Rey A** (1964). *L'Examen Clinique en Psychologie (Clinical Examination in Psychology)*. Presses Universitaires de France : Paris.

Sachdev PS, Brodaty H, Reppermund S, Kochan NA, Trollor JN, Draper B, Slavin MJ, Crawford J, Kang K, Broe GA, Mather KA, Lux O (2010). Memory and Ageing Study Team. The Sydney Memory and Ageing Study (MAS): methodology and baseline medical and neuropsychiatric characteristics of an elderly epidemiological non-demented cohort of Australians aged 70–90 years. International Psychogeriatrics 22, 1248–1264.

Sheik JI, Yesavage JA (1986). Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Recent evidence and development of a shorter version. In *Clinical Gerontology: A Guide to Assessment and Intervention* (ed. T. L. Brink), pp. 165–173. The Haworth Press: New York.

Spreen O, Benton AL (1969). Neurosensory Center Comprehensive Examination for Aphasis: Manual of Instructions (NCCEA). University of Victoria: Victoria, BC.

**Tuokko H, Morris C, Ebert P** (2005). Mild cognitive impairment and everyday functioning in older adults. *Neurocase* **11**, 40–47.

Wadley VG, Crowe M, Marsiske M, Cook SE, Unverzagt FW, Rosenberg AL, Rexroth D (2007). Changes in everyday function in individuals with psychometrically defined mild cognitive impairment in the Advanced Cognitive Training for Independent and Vital Elderly Study. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society* **55**, 1192–1198.

Wechsler D (1981). Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Revised. Psychological Corporation: New York.

Wechsler D (1997*a*). Wechsler Memory Scale, 3rd edn. Harcourt Brace & Company: San Antonio.

Wechsler D (1997*b*). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale*, 3rd edn. Psychological Corporation: San Antonio.

Wilms HU, Riedel-Heller SG, Angermeyer MC (2007). Limitations in activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living capacity in a representative sample: disentangling dementia- and mobility-related effects. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* **48**, 95–101.

Winblad B, Palmer K, Kivipelto M, Jelic V, Fratiglioni L, Wahlund LO, Nordberg A, Backman L, Albert M, Almkvist O, Arai H, Basun H, Blennow K, de Leon M, DeCarli C, Erkinjuntti T, Giacobini E, Graff C, Hardy J, Jack C, Jorm A, Ritchie K, van Duijn C, Visser P, Petersen RC (2004). Mild cognitive impairment – beyond controversies, towards a consensus: report of the International Working Group on Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 256, 240–246.

Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, Leirer VO (1982). Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 17, 37–49.